IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

FRIDAY, THE 25TH MAY 2007 / 4TH JYAISHTA 1929

Bail Appl..No. 3218 of 2007()

CP.6/2007 of J.M.F.C.-II, KOLLAM

PETITIONERS/ACCUSED:

1. SHAJI, S/O SIVASANKARA PILLAI,
   PUTHENVEETTIL VADAKKATHIL, VETILATHAZHAM,
   THRICOVILVATTOM, KOLLAM.

2. SHAJA @ PODIMON, S/O SANKARA PILLAI,
   PUTHENVEEDU, VETTILATHAZHAM,
   THRICOVILVATTOM, KOLLAM.

3. RAVEENDRAN PILLAI, S/O KESAVA PILLAI,
   PUTHENVEEDU, VETTLATHAZHAM,
   THRICOVILVATTOM, KOLLAM.

4. SURESH BABU, S/O BALAN PILLAI,
   PUTHEN VEEDU, VETTILATHAZHAM,
   THRICOVILVATTOM, KOLLAM.

5. VIJAYAN PILLAI, S/O KESAVAN PILLAI,
   PUTHEN VEEDU, VETTILATHAZHAM,
   THRICOVILVATTOM, KOLLAM.

6. BIJUKUMAR@BIJU, S/O DIVAKARAN PILLAI,
   LENTAZHIKATHU VEEDU, VETTILATHAZHAM,
   THRIKKOVILVATTOM, KOLLAM.

7. SUNILKUMAR @ CHANDU, S/O GOPALAKRISHNAN,
   GURU BHAVAN, CHENTHAPOOR,
   THRICOVILVATTOM, KOLLAM.

BY ADV. SRI.B.S.SIVAJI

RESPONDENTS:

STATE OF KERALA, REP.BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.P.N.SUKUMARAN

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 25/5/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

Bail Application No.3218 of 2007

DATED: 25-5-2007

O R D E R

Petitioners who are accused in C.P.NO.6/2007 of Judicial First Class Magistrate Court II, Kollam for offences punishable under Sections 143, 148, 324, 308 and 149 of I.P.C., seek anticipatory bail.

2. Consequent on the non-appearance of the petitioners in C.P.No.6/2007 on the file of the J.F.C.M II, Kollam non-bailable warrants of arrest are pending against the petitioners. Anticipatory bail cannot be granted to nullify the process issued by a court of competent jurisdiction. There is no reason why the petitioners should not surrender before the Magistrate and seek regular bail. Accordingly, if the petitioners surrender before the Magistrate and files an application for regular bail within two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of preferably on the same day on which it is filed after considering the explanation offered by the petitioner for his previous non-appearance.

With the above observation, this application is disposed of.

V.RAMKUMAR, JUDGE.

css/

css/-